



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/254,313	04/15/81	CHENARD	J SN(1343)BW

STANLEY A. MARCUS
P. O. BOX 1104
RAHWAY, NJ 07065

EXAMINER	
Hoke, V	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
143	H ₁

DATE MAILED:

03/02/82

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Veronica P. Hoke (PTO) (3) _____
(2) Stanley Marcus (4) _____

Date of interview 3-1-82

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: N/A

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: Gough and Brecker

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Will amend claims to avoid Gough & Brecker under 35 USC 102; 2) Was advised that tin-contg stab. if defined as per recitation in last paragraph on page 14 would be acceptable in overcoming 35 USC 112 rejection re tin stabilizer; 3) No support for unexpected results, i.e., no attempt even made for metal-contg. stab. other than tin compounds; 4) Broad metal-contg. stabilizer recitation unsupported by limited disclosure on page 17 paragraph two; 5) Will abandon parent application thus obviating double patenting rejection; and 6) Example XIV alleged to support
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

criticality for -SH radical place-
ment in ester.

Veronica P. Hoke
Examiner's Signature